

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

RAYSHAWN HAYNES,
Plaintiff,

v.

F. CONTREAS, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 1:22-cv-00536-JLT-EPG (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS

(Docs. 1, 8, & 11)

Rayshawn Haynes (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, which includes state law claims. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On September 6, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge entered findings and recommendations, recommending “that all claims and defendants be dismissed, except for Plaintiff’s Eighth Amendment excessive force claims against defendants Cleveland, Luna, Cloud, Orozco, Chan, and Contreas and his Fourteenth Amendment claim against defendant Rodriguez for unwanted medical treatment.” (Doc. 11, p. 2).

Plaintiff was provided an opportunity to file objections to the findings and recommendations. The deadline for filing objections has passed and Plaintiff has not filed objections or otherwise responded to the findings and recommendations.

1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this
2 Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the
3 Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5 1. The findings and recommendations issued on September 6, 2022, (Doc. 11), are
6 **ADOPTED IN FULL.**
- 7 2. All claims and defendants are **DISMISSED**, except for Plaintiff's Eighth
8 Amendment excessive force claims against defendants Cleveland, Luna, Cloud,
9 Orozco, Chan, and Contreas and his Fourteenth Amendment claim against
10 defendant Rodriguez for unwanted medical treatment.
- 11 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to reflect the dismissal of defendants Catalano and
12 Correctional Officer Cleveland¹ on the Court's docket.

13
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: **October 24, 2022**


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹ Defendant Cleveland is listed twice on the Court's docket. The Court is only directing the Clerk to terminate a duplicate entry.